

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alcassedan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/550,187	09/21/2005	Javier Sanchez	W1878.0222	5315
32172 DICKSTEIN S	7590 01/26/201 SHAPIRO LLP	EXAMINER		
1633 Broadwa	у	KAO, WEI PO ERIC		
NEW YORK,	NY 10019		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2464	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/26/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/550,187		SANCHEZ, JAVIER		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	WEI-PO KAO	2464		
	WEI-FO KAO	2404		

	WEI-PO KAO	2464					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED on 01/14/2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this liciation, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the ilication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time ods:						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing							
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In one went, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: 10 tox 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b), ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TW MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checket. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed was compared to the compared	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	s of the date of appeal. Since				
AMENDMENTS							
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I They raise new issues that would require further cor They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo They are not deemed to place the application in bet 	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	E below);					
appeal; and/or	ter form for appear by materially rec	rucing or simplifying ti	10 133003 101				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.					
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1							
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13 		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)							
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	owable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	it canceling the				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		be entered and an e	planation of				
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected:							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but	t before or on the date of filing a No	tion of Annual will not	he entored				
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affidavi	t or other evidence is	necessary and				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome all rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:				
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). 13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
/Ricky Ngo/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2464	/Wei-po Kao/ Examiner, Art Unit 2464						

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendment, which amended claims 1, 6 and 7, now specifies the following: a method/device for itime synchronizing of at least two radio access modules of a multimode communication mobile terminal commign a step of updating a value of a time offset Toffset on each change of location of the multimode communication mobile terminal from the current cell to another cell and for each neighboring cell associated with the radio access technique of the passive module. The amended claims have not been previously presented and therefore alter the scope of the claims. This new issue will require further search and consideration.